

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Fountain Wind Project Draft Environmental Impact Report

	<u>Page</u>
Executive Summary.....	ES-1
ES.1 Introduction	ES-1
ES.2 Project Summary.....	ES-1
ES.3 Purpose and Use of the Draft EIR	ES-2
ES.4 Project Objectives	ES-4
ES.5 Proposed Discretionary Approvals	ES-5
ES.6 Overview of Project Impacts and Mitigation	ES-6
ES.7 Overview of Alternatives to the Project.....	ES-36
ES.8 Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	ES-45
ES.9 Areas of Controversy	ES-45
ES.10 Issues to be Resolved.....	ES-46
ES.11 References	ES-46
1. Introduction.....	1-1
1.1 Purpose of This Document	1-1
1.2 Project Overview	1-1
1.3 Use of this Document by Agencies.....	1-2
1.4 CEQA Process Overview.....	1-3
1.5 References	1-8
2. Description of Project and Alternatives.....	2-1
2.1 Project Overview	2-1
2.2 Project Location	2-3
2.3 Project Objectives	2-6
2.4 Description of the Project.....	2-6
2.5 Description of Alternatives	2-27
2.6 Permits and Approvals.....	2-41
2.7 References	2-42
3. Environmental Analysis.....	3.1-1
3.1 Introduction to Environmental Analysis.....	3.1-1
3.2 Aesthetics.....	3.2-1
3.3 Air Quality.....	3.3-1
3.4 Biological Resources	3.4-1
3.5 Communications Interference.....	3.5.1
3.6 Cultural and Tribal Cultural Resources.....	3.6-1
3.7 Energy	3.7-1
3.8 Forestry Resources.....	3.8-1
3.9 Geology and Soils	3.9-1
3.10 Greenhouse Gas Emissions	3.10-1
3.11 Hazards and Hazardous Materials	3.11-1

	<u>Page</u>
3. Environmental Analysis (continued)	
3.12 Hydrology and Water Quality	3.12-1
3.13 Noise and Vibration.....	3.13-1
3.14 Transportation	3.14-1
3.15 Utilities and Service Systems	3.15-1
3.16 Wildfire	3.16-1
4. Comparison of Alternatives	4-1
4.1 Comparison Methodology	4-1
4.2 Comparison of Alternatives.....	4-2
4.3 Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	4-2
5. Report Preparation.....	5-1
5.1 Lead Agency	5-1
5.2 Consultant	5-1
5.3 Subconsultants.....	5-2
5.4 Entities Consulted and Recipients of the Draft EIR and/or the Notice of Availability	5-2

Appendices

A. Aesthetics	A-1
B. Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	B-1
C. Biological Resources	C-1
C1. Site Characterization Study Report	C1-1
C2. Aquatic Resources Survey Report.....	C2-1
C3. 2018 Rare Plant Surveys and Natural Vegetation Community Mapping	C3-1
C4. Clarification of 2018 Rare Plant Surveys and Natural Vegetation Community Mapping.....	C4-1
C5. 2019 Rare Plant Surveys and Natural Vegetation Community Mapping	C5-1
C6. Results of the Year 2 Avian Use Study at the Fountain Wind Project – Addendum to the Year 1 Avian Use Study Report and Risk Assessment	C6-1
C7. Year 1 Avian Use Study Report and Risk Assessment for the Fountain Wind Project.....	C7-1
C8. Great Gray Owl Habitat Assessment.....	C8-1
C9. Bat Acoustic Survey Report.....	C9-1
C10. 2017 Raptor Nest Survey Report.....	C10-1
C11. 2018 Northern Goshawk Nest.....	C11-1
C12. 2018 Eagle Nest Status Survey Report	C12-1
C13. Golden Eagle Clarification	C13-1
C14. Response to Informal Consultation Request for Use Permit 16-007	C14-1
C15. California Spotted Owl Risk Assessment	C15-1
C16. 2018 Willow Flycatcher Survey Results.....	C16-1
C17. 2018/2019 Foothill Yellow-legged Frog Assessment	C17-1
D. Communications Interference	D-1
E. Energy: Fuel Use Calculations	E-1
F. Hazards and Hazardous Materials	F-1
F1. Environmental Records Review	F1-1
F2. Shadow Flicker Analysis.....	F2-1
G. Noise and Vibration	G-1
H. Transportation.....	H-1
I. Water Supply Assessment	I-1
J. Scoping Report.....	J-1

	<u>Page</u>
List of Figures	
ES-1 Project Location	ES-3
2-1 Project Location	2-2
2-2 Site Plan	2-4
2-3 General Plan Land Use and Zoning Designations	2-5
2-4a Typical Wind Turbine	2-12
2-4b Preliminary Switching Station and Substation Site Plan	2-13
2-5 Road Network	2-15
2-6 Alternative 1	2-36
2-7 Alternative 2	2-39
3.2-1 Project Location, Visual Resources, and Key Observation Points.....	3.2-4
3.2-2 Character Views 5 and 6.....	3.2-6
3.2-3 Character Views 1 and 2.....	3.2-7
3.2-4 Character Views 3 and 4.....	3.2-13
3.2-5 30-Mile Radius Viewshed Turbine Blade Tip.....	3.2-18
3.2-6 30-Mile Radius Viewshed Hub Height	3.2-19
3.2-7 KOP 1: Fountain Fire Overlook.....	3.2-23
3.2-8 KOP 2: Montgomery Creek.....	3.2-25
3.2-9 KOP 3: Round Mountain.....	3.2-27
3.2-10 KOP 4: SR 299 at Tamarack Road.....	3.2-29
3.2-11 KOP 5: Burney	3.2-31
3.2-12a KOP 6: SR 299-Pit River Overlook	3.2-33
3.2-12b KOP 6: SR 299-Pit River Overlook (Simulation).....	3.2-34
3.2-13a KOP 7: Redding	3.2-36
3.2-13b KOP 7: Redding (Simulation).....	3.2-37
3.4-1 Natural Vegetation Communities Found within the Project Site.....	3.4-5
3.4-2 Vegetation Communities within the Alternative 1 Site.....	3.4-69
3.4-3 Vegetation Communities within the Alternative 2 Site.....	3.4-72
3.6-1 Pit River Tribe Ancestral Boundary.....	3.6-4
3.9-1 Geologic Units.....	3.9-2
3.9-2 Corrosion of Concrete and Steel	3.9-4
3.9-3 Regional Faults	3.9-6
3.12-1 Surface Waters and Hydrology	3.12-3
3.13-1 Effects of Noise on People.....	3.13-4
3.13-2 Noise Measurement Locations	3.13-8
3.13-3 Noise Contours for Turbine Operations	3.13-24
3.16-1 CalFire - Fire Hazard Severity Zones	3.16-5
3.16-2 CPUC Fire Threat	3.16-6

List of Tables

ES-1 Summary of Permits and Approvals	ES-5
ES 2 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures	ES-8
ES-3 Summary of Impacts of the Project and Alternatives	ES-39
2-1 Project Components and Disturbance Areas	2-7
2-2 Construction Equipment List.....	2-20
2-3 Hazardous Materials	2-26
2-4 Preliminary Summary of Potentially Significant Environmental Impacts.....	2-28
2-5 Repowering Alternative Options	2-30
2-6 Alternative 1 Components and Disturbance Areas	2-37
2-7 Alternative 2 Components and Disturbance Areas	2-40
2-8 Summary of Permits and Approvals	2-41

	<u>Page</u>
List of Tables (continued)	
3.1-1 Timber Harvest Plans in the Immediate Vicinity of the Project.....	3.1-4
3.1-2 California's Total Wind Production by County	3.1-7
3.1-3 Active and Reclaimed Mines in Shasta County.....	3.1-8
3.1-4 Potentially Cumulative County Projects.....	3.1-9
3.1-5 Other Potentially Cumulative Projects within Shasta County	3.1-10
3.2-1 Key Observation Points.....	3.2-8
3.2-2 Summary of Visual Quality Findings.....	3.2-39
3.3-1 Air Quality Data Summary (2014–2018) for the Study Area	3.3-5
3.3-2 NAAQS/CAAQS and Attainment Status for Shasta County	3.3-8
3.3-3 Shasta County Emissions Thresholds	3.3-12
3.3-4 Project Peak Daily Construction Emissions within the AQMD Jurisdiction	3.3-18
3.3-5 Mitigated Project Peak Daily Construction Emissions within the AQMD Jurisdiction	3.3-19
3.3-6 Project Peak Daily Construction Emissions within Other Air District Jurisdictions.....	3.3-22
3.3-7 Project Peak Daily Operation and Maintenance Emissions	3.3-23
3.4-1 Natural Vegetation Communities Present and Area with Project Site and Alternatives.....	3.4-4
3.4-2 Summary of Potentially Jurisdictional Aquatic Resources Occurring within the Project Site	3.4-9
3.4-3 Special-status Wildlife Species with Potential to Occur within the Project Site ..	3.4-10
3.5-1 Study Areas and Database Search Distances for Relevant Communications Types.....	3.5-2
3.6-1 Cultural Resources in the Area of Direct Impact	3.6-13
3.7-1 PG&E-Owned Electricity Generating Sources (2018).....	3.7-4
3.7-2 PG&E 2018 Renewable Energy Sources	3.7-4
3.7-3 Electricity Consumption in PG&E Service Area (2018).....	3.7-5
3.7-4 Project Energy Consumption during Construction.....	3.7-10
3.7-5 Project Energy Consumption during Operation	3.7-10
3.10-1 Estimated Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	3.10-14
3.10-2 Estimated Annual Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	3.10-16
3.11-1 Shadow Flicker Results at Receptors Predicted to Exceed 30 Hours	3.11-18
3.12-1 Beneficial Uses and Impairment Status.....	3.12-5
3.13-1 Typical Noise Levels	3.13-2
3.13-2 Monitored Noise Environments within the Project Area	3.13-9
3.13-3 Human Annoyance and Building Damage Potential from Vibration.....	3.13-10
3.13-4 Kern County Low Frequency Noise Criteria	3.13-18
3.13-5 Typical Noise Levels from Construction Equipment.....	3.13-20
3.13-6 Predicted Contributions of Operational Noise Sources	3.13-23
3.13-7 Predicted Sound Pressure Levels at Residential Locations Near Proposed Turbine Sites.....	3.13-25
3.13-8 Difference Between A-weighted and C-weighted Predicted Noise Levels....	3.13-26
3.13-9 Ground Vibration Levels Generated by Blasting	3.13-32
3.14-1 SR 299 Traffic Volumes – Existing Conditions	3.14-3
3.14-2 SR 299 Peak-Hour Level of Service – Existing Conditions	3.14-4
3.14-3 Peak-Hour Project Trip Generation – Project Construction.....	3.14-9
3.14-4 Peak-Hour Project Trip Generation – Project Operation	3.14-10
3.14-5 SR 299 Peak-Hour Level of Service – Project Construction	3.14-11
3.14-6 Peak-Hour Project Trip Generation – Project Operation	3.14-11
4-1 Summary of Impacts of the Project and Alternatives.....	4-3